The dual role of dexamethasone on anti-inflammation and outflow resistance demonstrated in cultured human trabecular meshwork cells
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Purpose: Dexamethasone (DEX) is a glucocorticoid commonly used in topical eye drops to treat eye inflammation. It has an undesirable effect of inducing glaucoma in certain patients. In human Trabecular Meshwork (TM) cells DEX regulates a number of genes but its global influence on TM gene expression is still elusive. In the present work, DEX effects on global gene expressions of an established human TM cell line were studied by microarray.

Methods: The whole experiment of microarray was repeated three times. Differentially expressed genes were identified by an empirical Bayes approach and confirmed by Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction.

Results: Eight genes (GAS1, CDH4, MT1L, CST3, ATF4, ASNS/TS1, CHOP, HSPA5) were identified that are at least a thousand times more likely to be differentially expressed due to DEX treatment and six genes (TSC22, LDHA, IGFBP2, TAGLN, SCG2, WARS) were identified that are at least a hundred times more likely to be differentially expressed due to DEX treatment. Except for MT1L, ASNS/TS1, IGFBP2, SCG2, and WARS, all the other genes are first reported here to be regulated by DEX in TM. Intriguingly, several of them have overlapping roles in anti-inflammatory response and outflow resistance.

Conclusions: The results of our experiments on cultured human TM cells indicate that the increase in outflow resistance and ultimate ocular hypertension may be byproducts of the favorable anti-inflammatory response triggered by DEX.

For a long time glucocorticoid, commonly dexamethasone (DEX) in topical eye drops, has been used to treat eye inflammation, which is characterized by vasodilation, increased vascular permeability, and cellular infiltration and activation. These processes are regulated by a number of internal and external factors including chemokines and cytokines. In the inflammation process, usually extensive extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling is involved. At least part of the anti-inflammatory effect is mediated through its inhibitory actions on cytokines, receptor synthesis, [1] and neutrophil activation [2]. However, glucocorticoid treatment also causes undesirable side effects of inducing ocular hypertension or glaucoma [3,4]. Although the exact mechanism is not completely understood, numerous in vivo and in vitro studies have indicated that long term treatment of DEX on trabecular meshwork (TM) can induce drastic changes in extracellular matrix protein expression and turnover, cytoskeletal structure, cell adhesion, morphology, and function [5]. These biological changes have considerable implications on glaucoma pathogenesis and therapy.

The prolonged effects of DEX on human TM cells provided the fundamental data to clone the first glaucoma gene, myocillin (MYOC) [6-9]. At least 50 mutations in MYOC have been shown to associate with juvenile and late-onset primary open angle glaucoma (POAG) to-date. Intriguingly, MYOC mutations are present only in a minor proportion, about 4.6%, of POAG patients [10]. The mutation pattern appears to be population-specific. We have identified a different MYOC sequence alteration pattern in Chinese people when compared to Caucasian [11,12] people. The candidate gene for the GLC1E locus [13] was recently identified as optineurin (OPTN) [14]. Mutations were found in 16.7% of hereditary POAG families. Our preliminary screening of OPTN coding exons identified a different mutation pattern in the Chinese population again (data not shown). Among other potential POAG loci, such as GLC1B-D and -F, specific genes are still to be identified [15-17]. Obviously the etiology and pathogenesis of POAG are complex.

The paradigm of life science research is shifting from gene-by-gene basis to a global view of gene interaction networks. Microarray technology is one of the most promising technologies in this area. Since its first introduction in 1995 [18], microarray has been shown to be useful in expression
profiling [19], disease classification [20,21], and pathway re-
construction [22]. Microarray expression profiling is one of the
most promising methods for identifying new glaucoma candidate
genes, which may be diagnostic biomarkers or even targets
for novel therapeutics development. However, eye func-
tional genomics study by microarray is still in its infancy, and
published reports are emerging rapidly. One of the examples
was a study by Gonzalez et al. who used a membrane
microarray to investigate the effect of elevated intraocular
pressure (IOP) on human TM and identified specific upregulation
of 11 genes [23]. Recently Ishibashi et al. iso-
lated primary TM cell cultures from normal human cadaver
eye and performed microarray analysis on their expression
profile changes after DEX treatment [24]. Interesting results
on differentially expression genes under DEX treatment were
obtained. However, there was no information about the ste-
roid responsiveness about the eye donors. Besides, the
microarray experiments in both studies [23,24] were not per-
formed in replicate, thus it is difficult to evaluate the statisti-
cal significance of differentially expression genes.

In this study a microarray with 2400 genes was used to
investigate differential gene expressions of an established hu-
man TM cell line under DEX treatment and carry out initial
characterization of the differentially expressed genes. Since
the prolonged effects of DEX on this TM cell line provided
the fundamental data to MYOC [6-9], this cell line is the ideal
candidate for studying the DEX response on TM. The
microarray experiment was repeated three times [25] and the
differential expression was inferred by an empirical Bayes
method [26]. The results were confirmed by reverse transcrip-
tion-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). A total of nine genes
were shown to be at least a thousand times more likely to be
differentially expressed, among them four up-regulated and
five down-regulated. Another six genes were at least a hun-
dred times more likely to be differentially expressed, four of
them up-regulated and two down-regulated. Most of them were
not previously identified as DEX regulated genes in TM. These
genes share substantial functional and pathway overlap on anti-
inflammatory response and outflow resistance. The current
results on cultured human TM cells indicated that steroid
induced outflow resistance and subsequent ocular hyperten-
sion are by-products of the favorable anti-inflammatory response
imposed by glucocorticoid treatment.

METHODS
Cell Culture and DEX treatment: TM cell line in the eighth
passage was used for DEX treatment. The cells were grown in
Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 10% fetal bovine
serum (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA),
maintained until confluent before DEX (water-soluble dex-
amethasone, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) treat-
ment. Effects of DEX on gene expression of TM cells was
tested by adding 100 nM DEX or water as control to the me-
dium. The amount of DEX added to the medium corresponds
to the level achieved in the aqueous humour by topical corti-
costeroid eyedrops [9]. The cells were then maintained in DEX
or water control treatment for a total of 10 days before har-
vesting. During DEX treatment, the medium was changed
every other day. After DEX treatment, the cells in full
confluence did not show fine morphology changes under light
microscopy, although some increase in cell and nuclear sizes
were noted. Three individual sets of DEX treated and control
cells were prepared for each microarray replicate.

RNA extraction: All cells were harvested on the tenth
day of DEX treatment. The cells were rinsed three times in
phosphate buffer saline, centrifuged, lysed in RLT buffer
(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with 10 µl/ml β-mercaptoethanol
and homogenized by QIA shredder column (Qiagen). Total
RNA was extracted by phenol-chloroform [27] and then by
RNaseasy kit (Qiagen). The final RNA concentration was quan-
tified on a GeneQuant RNA/DNA calculator (Amersham,
Uppsala, Sweden) and the quality affirmed by agarose gel elec-
trophoresis.

Microarray experiment: MicroMax Human cDNA Sys-
 tem I (PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Boston, MA, USA) with
2400 genes was used in the microarray experiment. The same
lot of microarrays (chip lot: 146408) was used for all three
replications. Twelve plant genes were spotted on the microarray
and exogenous plant RNA was added as an internal control.
Therefore the actual number of human genes on the array was
2388. The RNA from equal number of cells from DEX treat-
tment and control were labeled with Cy3 and Cy5, respectively
by the MicroMax Direct Reagent kit, hybridized to the
microarray overnight at 65 °C, washed and dried according
to the manual from the supplier.

Data analysis: The fluorescent images were scanned by a
ScanArray 4000 scanner (Packard Biochip Technologies,
Billerica, MA, USA). The images were visually inspected to
flag and exclude the abnormal spots with irregular shape or
dirt. The internal plant control genes were also excluded.

Only the spots with signals higher than background by
two standard deviations were used for subsequent analysis.
All image acquisition and raw signal intensity extraction were
performed by ScanArray version 2.1 and QuantArray version
2.0, respectively (Packard Biochip Technologies, Billerica,
MA, USA). The signal on each slide was normalized using
within-print-tip-group lowess normalization with default pa-
rameters [28]. Spatial plots and boxplots were used to evalu-
ate whether the within-print-tip-group lowess normalized data
required any scaling. Then the log intensity ratios were normal-
ized across the slides so that each slide had the same med-
dian absolute deviation. The expression level of genes is de-
noted as $M_{ij}$,

$$M_{ij} = \log_2 \left( \frac{\text{dextreatedsample}_{ij}}{\text{controlsample}_{ij}} \right)$$

(Eq. 1)

where i is the number of genes on the array (1...N) and j
is the number of replications (1...n). In this experiment N=2400
and n=3.

Assuming the $M_{ij}$ has a Gaussian distribution with mean
$\mu_i$, the log posterior odds for each gene to be differentially
expressed were calculated using a Bayes method,

$$B_g = \log_e \frac{P(I_g = 1|M_{ij})}{P(I_g = 0|M_{ij})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eq. 2)

where $B_g$ is the log posterior odds for gene $g$ to be differentially expressed, $I_g = 1$ indicates the gene $g$ is differentially expressed and $I_g = 0$ indicates the gene $g$ is unchanged.

The formula for $B_g$ is

$$B_g = \log_e \frac{p}{1-p} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + nc}} \left[ \frac{a + s_g^2 + M_g^2}{a + s_g^2 + M_g^2} \right]^{\nu/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eq. 3)

where $p$ is the prior distribution for gene $g$ to be differentially expressed. In this study, $B_g$ was set at the default value 0.01. $s_g^2$ is the sum of square (SSB) over replications, $a$ and $\nu$ are hyperparameters in the inverse gamma prior distributions of the variances; $c$ is the hyperparameter in the normal prior of the nonzero mean. Derivation and usage of this formula was detailed by Lee et al. [25]. All spatial plots, normalization, and Bayesian analyses were carried out by the R package “Statistics for Microarray Analysis” (SMA) version 0.5.7 implemented in the R environment (version 1.4.0). All other analyses were performed in the R environment (version 1.4.0).

**RT-PCR:** Differential expression of those genes with B statistics $\geq 2$ was verified by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. The differentially expressed genes were compared from DEX-treated and control cells using primers specially designed to anneal with the sequences located in different exons. RT-PCR was performed at the exponential phase with the number of cycles determined for each tested gene. Three housekeeping genes, beta-actin (ACTB), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPD), and pyruvate dehydrogenase beta-subunit (PDH), were used as internal controls. The experiments were repeated three times for verification. In each RT-PCR 1 $\mu$g of the total RNA was reverse-transcribed with Superscript II reverse transcriptase into cDNA, and 10 $\mu$g was used as template for PCR amplification, with primers and PCR conditions depicted in Table 1. Specific PCR cycle conditions were selected within the range of the linear amplification for each gene to reflect the level of differential expression. The size of each amplicon, which was the RT-PCR product (Table 1), and reaction specificity were confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The gel image was captured and expression ratios

**Table 1. RT-PCR primer pairs and conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>GenBank accession number</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
<th>PCR conditions (MgCl₂ concentration; annealing temp; number of cycles)</th>
<th>Amplicon size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>NM_001101</td>
<td>CAACGGCTCCGGCATGTGC</td>
<td>CTCTTGCTCTGGGCCTCG</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 57 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYOC</td>
<td>NM_000261</td>
<td>CATCTGGCTATCTCAGGAGTGG</td>
<td>CCTTCACTGTCTCGGTATTCAG</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 57 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARS</td>
<td>X62570</td>
<td>AAAGCGGGAAATGCGTCAAAGG</td>
<td>TCTATTCGGTTTATTAGCTCTTTG</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 54 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG2</td>
<td>M25756</td>
<td>AAGCTCGCCCGGAGAACGG</td>
<td>ATAGGAGGGAATTGCATGTGC</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 54 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGLN</td>
<td>M95787</td>
<td>AGGTCTGGCTGAAGAATGGCG</td>
<td>TTCCCTCTTATGCTCCTGCGC</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 54 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGFBP2</td>
<td>M35410</td>
<td>TTCCGGATGAGCGGGGCCCTCTGG</td>
<td>CTGGCTGCGGTCTACTGCATCC</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 62 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDHA</td>
<td>X02152</td>
<td>ATTATCACGGCTGGGGCACG</td>
<td>CCAAATCTGCTACAGAGAGTCC</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 54 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC22</td>
<td>A0222700</td>
<td>CAGATGAGTCTAGCTGGAACTC</td>
<td>AGGATGCAATGAGATGTCCTCC</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 54 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC22</td>
<td>A0222700</td>
<td>CAGATGAGTCTAGCTGGAACTC</td>
<td>AGGATGCAATGAGATGTCCTCC</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 54 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC22</td>
<td>A0222700</td>
<td>CAGATGAGTCTAGCTGGAACTC</td>
<td>AGGATGCAATGAGATGTCCTCC</td>
<td>1.5 mM; 54 °C</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expression of genes with B statistics $\geq 2$ was confirmed by semi-quantitative RT-PCR with the depicted primers and PCR conditions.

This table summarized details of the microarray experiments conducted in three replications. *Percentage of informative spots=(total number of spots input to data analysis/total number of human genes on array) 100% .

**Table 2. Replicated microarray experiments statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details of the microarray</th>
<th>Repeat 1</th>
<th>Repeat 2</th>
<th>Repeat 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of spots on array</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>7200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-mammalian control</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of human genes on array</td>
<td>2388</td>
<td>2388</td>
<td>2388</td>
<td>7164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defects, abnormal morphology or signal intensity (less than background intensity + 2 SD)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of spots input to data analysis</td>
<td>2380</td>
<td>2379</td>
<td>2380</td>
<td>7159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of informative spots (%)</td>
<td>99.66%</td>
<td>99.62%</td>
<td>99.66%</td>
<td>99.65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Differentially expressed genes by DEX treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Genbank accession number</th>
<th>Expression ratio by number</th>
<th>Expression ratio by B</th>
<th>Expression ratio by M</th>
<th>Expression SSB</th>
<th>Expression RT-PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated genes with B statistics ≥3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAS1</td>
<td>Growth arrest-specific 1</td>
<td>L13698</td>
<td>4.452</td>
<td>3.282</td>
<td>1.714</td>
<td>0.1622</td>
<td>2.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDH4</td>
<td>Cadherin-4, R-cadherin (retinal)</td>
<td>L34059</td>
<td>4.317</td>
<td>2.847</td>
<td>1.510</td>
<td>0.1205</td>
<td>2.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT1L</td>
<td>metallothionein 1L</td>
<td>X76717</td>
<td>3.621</td>
<td>1.900</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.0231</td>
<td>2.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST3</td>
<td>cystatin C</td>
<td>X05607</td>
<td>3.365</td>
<td>1.952</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.0459</td>
<td>2.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated genes with B statistics ≥3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATF4</td>
<td>Activating transcription factor 4 (tax-responsive enhancer element B67)</td>
<td>D90209</td>
<td>3.613</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>-0.851</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASNS*</td>
<td>Asparagine synthetase</td>
<td>M27396</td>
<td>3.499</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>-1.051</td>
<td>0.0613</td>
<td>Not expressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S62138**</td>
<td>TLS/CHOP, hybrid gene</td>
<td>S62138</td>
<td>3.175</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>-0.837</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
<td>Not expressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSC22</td>
<td>Transforming growth factor beta-stimulated protein TSC-22</td>
<td>A222700</td>
<td>2.804</td>
<td>1.790</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.0404</td>
<td>1.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDHA</td>
<td>Lactate dehydrogenase A</td>
<td>X02152</td>
<td>2.617</td>
<td>1.609</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>1.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGFBP2</td>
<td>Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2</td>
<td>M35410</td>
<td>2.283</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.0426</td>
<td>1.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGLN</td>
<td>Transgelin; 22 kDa smooth muscle protein (SM22)</td>
<td>M95787</td>
<td>2.208</td>
<td>1.529</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>2.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down-regulated genes with B statistics ≥2 and &lt;3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD2</td>
<td>Secretogranin II (chromogranin C)</td>
<td>M25756</td>
<td>2.236</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>-0.642</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARS</td>
<td>Tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase</td>
<td>X62570</td>
<td>2.180</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>-0.824</td>
<td>0.0693</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYOC</td>
<td>Myocilin</td>
<td>NM_000261</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPD</td>
<td>Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>NM_002046</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>Beta actin</td>
<td>NM_001101</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDH</td>
<td>Pyruvate dehydrogenase beta-subunit</td>
<td>NM_000925</td>
<td>1.025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ASNS and TS11 have exactly the same sequence but repeatedly occurred in the database and array because they were annotated with different names.

**S62138 codes for a protein called TLS/CHOP, a hybrid protein produced by chromosome translocation in human myxoid liposarcoma.

Genes listed without statistics were not presented on the array or the spot was flagged during image analysis and excluded from subsequent analysis because of array manufacturing defect (MYOC).

Results obtained by microarray analysis and RT-PCR.
7139 data points, corresponding to raw data of 2388 human genes in three replicates, were used for subsequent analysis (Table 2). The raw data was input to SMA for further analysis. Print-tip group lowess normalization was chosen for normalization for all three slides. Multiple slide normalization was then performed across the three slides, allowing their log-ratios to have the same median absolute deviation. A log posterior odds, B statistics, was calculated using this dataset, the resulting B vs M plot shown in Figure 1. A total of nine genes, as denoted by red crosses, had B statistics greater than or equal to 3. This means they were at least a thousand times more likely to be differentially expressed than to remain unaffected. Among these nine genes, four were up-regulated: growth arrest-specific 1 (GAS1), cadherin-4, (CDH4), metallothionein 1L (MT1L), and cystatin C (CST3). Five were down-regulated: activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4), asparagine synthetase (ASNS), hybrid gene of RNA-binding protein FUS and C/EBP-

Figure 2. RT-PCR confirmation of differential expression. The differential expression of genes with B statistics ≥2 and MYOC was confirmed by RT-PCR. Control: TM cells treated with water as control. DEX: TM cells treated with dexamethasone. NA: not available.

homologous protein (TLS/CHOP), heat shock 70 kD protein 5 (HSPA5), and the ts11 gene encoding a G-1 progression protein (TS11). Another six genes, as denoted by blue asterisks, had B statistics greater than or equal to 2 and less than 3, meaning they are at least a hundred times more likely to be differentially expressed. Among them, four were up-regulated: trans-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Gene ontology term*</th>
<th>SWISS-PROT functions/pathways</th>
<th>SWISS-PROT subcellular location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAS1</td>
<td>PROCESS: cell cycle arrest; negative control of cell proliferation.</td>
<td>A specific growth arrest protein involved in growth suppression; blocks entry to s phase; prevents cycling of normal and transformed cells.</td>
<td>Integral membrane protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDH4</td>
<td>FUNCTION: calcium binding; calcium-dependent cell adhesion molecule. PROCESS: transport; cell adhesion; homophilic cell adhesion. COMPONENT: plasma membrane; membrane.</td>
<td>Cadherins are calcium dependent cell adhesion proteins. They preferentially interact with themselves in a homophilic manner in connecting cells; cadherins may thus contribute to the sorting of heterogeneous cell types. May play an important role in retinal development.</td>
<td>Type i membrane protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT1L</td>
<td>FUNCTION: heavy metal binding. PROCESS: heavy metal sensitivity/resistance.</td>
<td>Metallothioneins have a high content of cysteine residues that bind various heavy metals; these proteins are transcriptionally regulated by both heavy metals and glucocorticoids.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CST3</td>
<td>FUNCTION: cysteine protease inhibitor; amyloid protein.</td>
<td>As an inhibitor of cysteine proteinases, this protein is thought to serve an important physiological role as a local regulator of this enzyme activity.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATF4</td>
<td>FUNCTION: DNA binding; RNA polymerase II transcription factor. PROCESS: transcription regulation. COMPONENT: nucleus.</td>
<td>This protein binds the camp response element (cre; consensus: 5’gtgacgt(a/c)(a/g)-3’), a sequence present in many viral and cellular promoters.</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASNS**</td>
<td>FUNCTION: asparagine synthase (glutamine-hydrolyzing); glutamine amidotransferase; ligase. PROCESS: asparagine biosynthesis; glutamine metabolism; metabolism. COMPONENT: soluble fraction.</td>
<td>Asparagine Biosynthesis</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S62138</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLS</td>
<td>FUNCTION: nucleic acid binding; DNA binding; RNA binding. PROCESS: cell growth and/or maintenance. COMPONENT: nucleus.</td>
<td>Binds both single-stranded and double-stranded DNA and promotes ATP-independent annealing of complementary single-stranded DNAs and D-Loop formation in superhelical double-stranded DNA. May Play a role in maintenance of genomic integrity.</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOP</td>
<td>FUNCTION: transcription factor; transcription co-repressor. PROCESS: cell cycle control; transcription regulation; DNA damage response; cell cycle arrest; cell growth and/or maintenance. COMPONENT: nucleus</td>
<td>Inhibits the DNA-binding activity of C/EBP and LAP by forming heterodimers that cannot bind DNA</td>
<td>Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSPA5</td>
<td>FUNCTION: ATP binding; HSP70/ HSP90 organizing protein. COMPONENT: endoplasmic reticulum; endoplasmic reticulum lumen.</td>
<td>Probably plays a role in facilitating the assembly of multimeric protein complexes inside the ER.</td>
<td>Endoplasmic reticulum lumen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 4. Continued.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Gene ontology term*</th>
<th>SWISS-PROT functions/pathways</th>
<th>SWISS-PROT subcellular location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TS11**</td>
<td>FUNCTION: asparagine synthase (glutamine-hydrolyzing); glutamine amidotransferase; ligase. PROCESS: asparagine biosynthesis; glutamine metabolism; metabolism. COMPONENT: soluble fraction.</td>
<td>Asparagine Biosynthesis</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGFBP2</td>
<td>FUNCTION: insulin-like growth factor receptor binding; plasma protein; insulin-like growth factor binding, growth factor binding. PROCESS: regulation of cell growth. COMPONENT: extracellular; extracellular space.</td>
<td>Igf-binding proteins prolong the half-life of the igfs and have been shown to either inhibit or stimulate the growth promoting effects of the igfs on cell culture. They alter the interaction of igfs with their cell surface receptors.</td>
<td>Secreted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGLN</td>
<td>PROCESS: muscle development</td>
<td>Actin cross-linking/gelling protein (by similarity). Involved in calcium interactions and contractile properties of the cell that may contribute to replicative senescence.</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic (probable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG2</td>
<td>FUNCTION: calcium binding. PROCESS: protein secretion. COMPONENT: secretory vesicle</td>
<td>Secretogranin ii is a neuroendocrine secretory granule protein, which is the precursor for biologically active peptides.</td>
<td>Neuroendocrine and endocrine secretory granules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARS</td>
<td>FUNCTION: tRNA ligase; tryptophan-tRNA ligase; ATP binding; ligase. PROCESS: protein biosynthesis; amino acid activation; tryptophanyl-tRNA aminocytation; negative control of cell proliferation. COMPONENT: soluble fraction; cytoplasm.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYOC</td>
<td>FUNCTION: structural molecule. PROCESS: vision; morphogenesis. COMPONENT: non-muscle myosin; cilium.</td>
<td>May participate in the obstruction of fluid outflow in the trabecular meshwork.</td>
<td>Located preferentially in the ciliary rootlet and basal body of the connecting cilium of photoreceptor cells, and in the rough endoplasmic reticulum. Also secreted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPD</td>
<td>FUNCTION: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (phosphorylating); oxidoreductase. PROCESS: glycolysis. COMPONENT: cytoplasm.</td>
<td>First step in the second phase of glycolysis</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The differential expression of those genes with B ≥ 2 were down-regulated: secretogranin II (SCG2), lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA), insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2 (IGFBP2), and transgelin (TAGLN). Two were down-regulated: secretogranin II (SCG2), and tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase (WARS) (Table 3).

**Confirmation of differential gene expression by RT-PCR:** The differential expression of those genes with B ≥ 2, together with three housekeeping genes (GAPD, ACTB and PDH) and MYOC, were confirmed by RT-PCR (Table 1). In general the microarray and RT-PCR expression ratios matched well (Figure 2, Table 3) while the three house keeping genes had similar expression ratios in both DEX-treated and control cells. ASNS and TS11 were found to have exactly the same sequence but repeatedly occurred in the database because they were annotated with different names.

EX induces differential expression for several genes. Here we provide the available Gene Ontology Terms and SWISS-PROT functions/pathways for these genes. Comparing the known functions of these genes with the effect of DEX on their expression allows us to formulate testable hypotheses that explain the mechanisms of action of DEX (Figure 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Gene ontology term*</th>
<th>SWISS-PROT functions/pathways</th>
<th>Subcellular location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTB</td>
<td>FUNCTION: motor; structural molecule; structural constituent of cytoskeleton. PROCESS: cell motility. COMPONENT: cytoskeleton; actin filament; actin cytoskeleton.</td>
<td>Actins are highly conserved proteins that are involved in various types of cell motility and are ubiquitously expressed in all eukaryotic cells</td>
<td>Cytoplasmic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDHB</td>
<td>FUNCTION: pyruvate dehydrogenase (lipoamide). PROCESS: glucose metabolism; tricarboxylic acid cycle. COMPONENT: mitochondrion.</td>
<td>The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex catalyzes the overall conversion of pyruvate to acetyl-CoA and CO2. It contains multiple copies of three enzymatic components: pyruvate dehydrogenase (E1), dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase (E2) and lipoamide dehydrogenase (E3).</td>
<td>Mitochondrial matrix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*S62138 codes for a protein called TLS/CHOP, a hybrid protein produced by chromosome translocation in human myxoid liposarcoma

**ASNS and TS11 have exactly the same sequence but repeatedly occurred in the database because they were annotated with different names

Table 4. continued.

Although MYOC was included in the array design, the data analysis did not reveal any significant differential expression as would be expected. Careful inspection of the microarray data revealed consistent manufacturing defects in the same batch of the array and MYOC was inside one of them (Figure 3). The genes within the affected areas were flagged and excluded from further data analysis. Therefore, there was no conclusive data about MYOC from our array results. Since MYOC is well documented to be up-regulated by DEX treatment, RT-PCR was used to affirm it expression. We found MYOC up-regulated by 4.32 fold (Table 3).

Correlating the gene functions by referencing to knowledge base: In order to further understand the functions of these genes, the available Gene Ontology terms and SWISS-PROT functions/pathways and subcellular annotations were extracted and listed in Table 4.

**DISCUSSION**

The paradigm of life science research has been changing from one-by-one genetics to a holistic understanding of biological systems. Microarray is one of the most powerful tools for this purpose. It not only allows a simultaneous inspection of thousands of gene expression levels in different samples, but also makes possible the study of global gene functional networks when accompanied with appropriate experimental design and data analysis [30]. This ultimately contributes to a better understanding of complex disease pathogenesis. We used microarray in this study to investigate the global effects of DEX on gene expression of cultured human TM cells.
Researchers often do not repeat microarray experiments due to high cost and difficulties to obtain sufficient amounts of RNA. Differential expression is usually inferred if a gene’s expression ratio is greater than 2 or less than 0.5. Sometimes RT-PCR is performed in parallel to confirm or support the detected changes of expression levels. It has been shown that replication of microarray experiments is beneficial to understanding the underlying variance of the data. This also provides a means to identify statistically significant differential expressions and considerably reduce false positives [25]. Therefore, in this study the whole set of experiments starting from the cell culture stage was repeated for three times.

This study has revealed a number of possible problems that virtually everyone would encounter when using commercial microarrays. The first one is limited quality check. Although the overall quality of MicroMax is very high, yet a small manufacturing defect in the same batch of array has affected our data collection on the MYOC gene (Figure 3). This type of defect is generally not a problem for researchers who produce their own arrays because some arrays can be sampled to perform mock hybridization for quality control. Defective arrays can be discarded. However this quality check is not possible when using expensive commercial arrays. Replications cannot solve this particular problem because the defects occurred in the same region of the same batch of arrays and, subsequently, all data for the same spot are lost. This problem is partly solved by the newer version of MicroMax with genes arrayed in duplicate in different areas on the same slide. At least part of the data can be recovered by the duplicated spots. The other problem is clone selection strategy. The users have limited control over the commercial array design, while a great deal of bioinformatic analysis is necessary to select the appropriate genes or appropriate part of the genes to make up the array [31]. In this experiment there was a gene spotted twice on the array, ASNS and TS11 (Table 3, Table 4), possibly because they occurred in GenBank with different annotations. The ASNS has been defined as human asparagine synthetase mRNA and TS11 human ts11 gene encoding a G-1 progression protein, whilst they are referred to the same gene in curated databases like SWISS-PROT. A better cross-checking during the design stage can avoid this problem. Another problem observed in this experiment was the presence of a hybrid gene TLS-CHOP on the array. This is a product of chromosome translocation in human myxoid liposarcoma. It is not likely that such a gene product would have been detectable in the current experimental system or POAG. A subsequent RT-PCR confirmed that the TLS-CHOP hybrid gene was not expressed in our system. A careful array design can avoid this confusion. Nonetheless, duplication of ASNS and TS11 provided an empirical measure for our microarray hybridization precision. The mean expression ratio of ASNS and TS11 obtained from the array was 0.483 and 0.421, respectively. They were nearly identical and coherent with a RT-PCR expression ratio of 0.415.

A superior solution to all these problems is to use oligonucleotides arrays instead of cDNA arrays, either home-made arrays or commercial arrays like Affymetrix. In oligonucleotide microarrays, different regions of the same gene can be represented by different oligonucleotide spots. This type of probe redundancy can greatly increase the reliability of differential expression results. Good oligonucleotide arrays safeguard the quality of the collected data. Although the initial effort and cost to make or use oligonucleotide arrays appear to be much greater than those of cDNA arrays, this is not necessarily the case with the ultimate expenses. There are hidden costs in making cDNA arrays like sequence verification, clone purchasing, and labor. As a result, serious microarray researchers are exploring oligonucleotides arrays for routine use.

In the present study, RT-PCR analysis confirmed differential regulation of genes with B greater than 2 (Table 3, Figure 2). As mentioned earlier, the MYOC data collection was affected by a manufacturing defect, therefore its differential expression was affirmed only by RT-PCR, which revealed up-regulation of the MYOC gene in the DEX treated cells by 4.32 fold. This is consistent with other studies on MYOC regulation by long-term DEX treatment [6-9]. Since the functional properties of differentially expressed genes may provide clues to understand the biological effects of DEX treatment on TM cells and hence glaucoma pathogenesis, the Gene Ontology terms and SWISS-PROT function/pathways and subcellular location annotations of those genes with B greater than 2 were extracted from respective databases (Table 4). A number of notable findings related to possible DEX effects on anti-inflammation and outflow resistance were identified from their possible functions. MYOC was up-regulated by 4.32 fold. This is not surprising because MYOC was originally identified by DEX induction on TM cells. MYOC is both secreted and expressed intra-cellularly [6]. Extra-cellular MYOC preferentially associates with fibronectin [32] and co-localizes with type IV collagen [33]. The induced extracellular MYOC likely associates with and stabilizes the extracellular matrix (ECM) and ultimately increases the outflow resistance. Intracellular MYOC is associated with sub-cellular structures like endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [34] and Golgi apparatus [35], as well as co-localizes with microtubules [36] and mitochondria [37]. Disruption of TM cytoskeleton could increase outflow facility [38].

GAS1 was up-regulated by 3.28 fold. It is an integral membrane protein and suppresses cell proliferation by blocking entry to S phase [39]. It has been shown to be induced by DEX in NIH-3T3 fibroblasts [40]. The presence of an extra-cellular arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) sequence suggests GAS1 may interact with integrins and modify the attachment of cells to the ECM [40]. Therefore its up-regulation might moderate the cell proliferation induced by inflammation but at the same time increase cell-cell and cell-ECM adhesion and hence compromise cell permeability. This proposition is consistent with the 2.85 fold up-regulation of CDH4 detected in this study. CDH4 was originally cloned from brain and retina cDNA libraries [41]. It is strongly induced by DEX in human osteoblastic cells [42] and has a potential role in striated muscle formation [43]. Cadherin plays a role in cell-cell adhesion in bovine aortic endothelial cells (BAEC). Latrunculin-A, a drug used to destroy cadherin interaction in BAEC, was found to increase the outflow facility two to three
fold in monkeys [44]. It was believed that the destruction of cell-cell adhesion junctions and cell-ECM focal contacts in juxtacanalicular and corneoscleral regions would render the overall meshwork architecture less rigid. Over-production of cadherin, on the other hand, might produce an opposite effect that induces abnormal adhesion and decreases the aqueous outflow facility.

Normal TM expresses a number of ECM proteins. For example the amorphous basement membrane-like material in juxtacanalicular tissue consists of mainly collagen type IV, laminin and fibronectin. In sheath-derived plaques many ECM proteins including elastin, fibrillin-1, microfibril-associated glycoprotein-1, decorin, and collagen type VI have also been detected [5]. MYOC was shown to interact with fibronectin and fibrillin-1 [45]. In glaucoma with increased outflow resistance, there was abnormal accumulation of these ECM proteins in TM [46,47]. DEX was able to induce some of these ECM proteins including collagen IV [48], fibronectin [49] and elastin [50] in TM. Although such DEX associated ECM proteins were not on the array in this study, we noticed an interesting finding. The CST3 was up-regulated by 1.95 fold. CST3 is the most abundant extracellular inhibitor of cytene proteases. Common cytene proteases like cathepsins B and L are usually up-regulated in tumor progression, metastasis, tissue injury and inflammation [51]. Cathepsin L was also up-regulated by elevated IOP in TM in an earlier microarray study [23]. Such up-regulation can help the degradation of ECM including major components in TM, for example collagen type IV, laminin and fibronectin [52,53]. This can increase vascular permeability and facilitate cellular infiltration. Therefore one of the anti-inflammatory effects of DEX is likely to be mediated via the up-regulation of the cytene proteases inhibitor CST3. The DEX induction of CST3 has also been demonstrated in HeLa cells [54]. Unfortunately, this anti-inflammatory up-regulation of CST3 also comes with a price. The decrease in ECM turnover due to its inhibitory activities against cytene proteases potentially leads to an increase in outflow resistance.

Besides the extracellular changes, DEX treatment also induces a drastic reorganization of the cytoskeleton into cross-linked actin networks (CLANs), which resemble geodesic dome-like polygonal lattices [55]. CLANs were also identified in a number of TM cell lines derived from glaucomatous donors, suggesting their possible roles in POAG. In our experiment TAGLN, was up-regulated by 1.53 fold. TAGLN is an actin cross-linking and gelling protein and is possibly involved in the production of CLANs. While disruption of the TM cytoskeleton could increase outflow facility [38], the abnormal accumulation of CLANs, in contrast, would stabilize the TM cytoskeleton and cell-matrix attachment [5]. Together with the up-regulation of CDH4, decrease in ECM turnover and increase in ECM production and stability, the efficiency of aqueous outflow can be greatly compromised. At the same time, the tighter cell-cell and cell-matrix adhesion induced by DEX might reduce cellular infiltration, which is a typical inflammatory response [56].

The 1.79 fold up-regulation of TSC-22 potentially contributes to aqueous outflow. TSC-22 was identified as a leukine zipper containing protein induced by transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGFβ-1) and up-regulated by DEX in mouse osteoblastic cells [57,58]. It activates C-type natriuretic peptide (CNP) expression [59]. In rabbit eyes CNP has an ocular hypotensive effect through increasing the outflow facility when injected intravitreally [60]. However, this DEX-induced hypotension appears contradictory to IOP elevating effect of TSC-22. Depending on the dimerization partner, TSC-22 can act either as transcription repressor or activator [58]. The SWISS-PROT annotation for TSC-22 is transcriptional repressor. In fact, the transcription regulation mechanism of TSC-22 is still not completely clear. It is possible that TSC-22 preferentially binds to its transcription repressor partner and inhibits CNP expression in TM under DEX treatment. CNP repression can ultimately lead to increased IOP.

ATF4 and CHOP were down-regulated by 1.81 and 1.25 fold, respectively, as revealed by RT-PCR. ATF4 is also known as cAMP-responsible element binding proteins (CREB) that regulates expressions of a wide variety of genes via the cAMP-responsible element (CRE) in their promoter. It is the upstream activator CHOP, which encodes a member of the CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP) homologous proteins [61]. This signaling pathway is transcriptionally activated by various cellular stress signals including acute phase response [62]. Physiological dose of DEX treatment was found to abolish the CHOP induction in rats eliciting acute phase response [63]. It appears DEX exerts the same function in TM and turns down this pathway to alleviate the acute phase response gene expression.

SCG2 was down-regulated by 1.56 fold. SCG2 is the precursor of secretoneurin, a neuroendocrine marker expressed in ciliary epithelium [64]. Up-regulated in TM under elevated IOP [23], it likely contributes to coordinate local neurogenic inflammatory responses including vasodilation, increasing vascular permeability and cellular infiltration [65,66]. Thus, DEX suppression of SCG2 might help relieve some inflammation symptoms.

MTIL was up-regulated by 1.90 fold. It is a member of the metallothioneins (MTs) family implicated in disparate physiological functions including zinc and copper metabolism, protection against reactive oxygen species and adaptation to stress [67]. An acute phase response protein, it is induced by inflammatory cytokines [68]. Interestingly, DEX increased MT synthesis via glucocorticoid response elements (GRE) while at the same time suppressed inflammation-induced elevation in MT [69]. It appears DEX exerts opposing effects on MT induction [70]. In the TM, MT has been found up-regulated by elevated IOP23 and DEX treatment [8], which is consistent with our observation. It would be interesting to know which types of MTs are responsible for mediating these two situations and the exact function of MT in TM under DEX treatment or elevated IOP.

HSPA5 was down-regulated by 1.73 fold under DEX treatment. It belongs to the heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) family
and resides in the endoplasmic reticulum. HSP70 is induced by a number of environmental and physiological stress stimuli, including inflammation [71]. This down-regulation is probably another mechanism to control the inflammatory response. DEX inhibits major heat shock proteins. Such repression was primarily a glucocorticoid receptor (GR) mediated inhibition of transcription enhancement activity of heat shock transcription factor 1 (HSF1), the upstream transcriptional regulators of HSPs [72].

ASNS/TS11 and WARS were down-regulated by 2.07/2.37 and 1.77 fold, respectively. They are enzymes involved in protein biosynthesis. Their down-regulation in TM by DEX treatment was also observed in the microarray study by Ishibashi et al. [24]. DEX suppression of protein biosynthesis agrees with its anti-inflammatory function through interfering de novo protein biosynthesis [73].

IGFBP2 was up-regulated by 1.70 fold by DEX treatment. The DEX induction of IGFBP2 was also observed in bone marrow stromal cell [74] and TM [24]. IGFBPs comprise a family of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) binding proteins that form complexes with IGFs and moderate their actions in cell [75]. IGFs are involved in the regulation of inflammatory responses as well as immune responses [76]. IGFBPs might exert their anti-inflammatory effects by sequestering the IGFs released during inflammation. IGFBP2 also contains integrin recognition sequence RGD like GAS1. The integrin binding does not require IGF-1. Therefore IGFBPs might also stabilize cell-cell adhesion and decrease the outflow facility. Besides integrin, IGFBP2 also binds to heparin and proteoglycans [77,78]. It is believed that the binding of ECMs and other IGFBP turnover mechanisms can regulate cellular responses by modulating the IGF-1 bioavailability in the pericellular space in vivo. It would be interesting to decipher whether the induction of IGFBP2 by DEX is to counteract or potentiate IGFs’ function and whether IGFBP2’s binding to integrin can stabilize cell-cell adhesion.

LDHA was upregulated by 1.61 fold. LDH is a tetrameric enzyme with five isoforms composed of combinations of two subunits, LDHA and LDHB. The LDHA subunit carries out anaerobic glycolysis that converts pyruvate to lactate while LDHB converts lactate to pyruvate [79]. There are also evidences that LDH plays a regulatory role during gene transcription and DNA replication besides glucose metabolism [80]. In the LDHA promoter there is a silencer module, which can repress cAMP-responsive element (CRE) dependent LDHA expression [81]. The down-regulation of ATF4 (CREB2) shown in our study suggests ATF4 may be responsible for the transcriptional repression of LDHA. The down-regulation of ATF4 would in turn relieve the suppression on LDHA expression. This agrees with the general anti-inflammatory effect of DEX such as reducing protein synthesis, increasing amino acid turnover, and gluconeogenesis. Amino acids catabolism produces pyruvate, which is converted to lactate by LDHA. Lactate is finally transported to liver for gluconeogenesis.

A holistic view of these differentially expressed genes and their biological effects by DEX induction is shown in Figure 4. Except for the MT family protein, all differentially expressed genes have not been previously reported to be regulated by DEX in TM. SCG2, however, was up-regulated in TM under elevated IOP. Obviously a considerable number of DEX regulated genes have overlapping roles in anti-inflammatory response and outflow resistance. The increased outflow resistance and subsequent ocular hypertension may be byproducts of the favorable anti-inflammatory response triggered by DEX treatment. Comparison of this study with an earlier microarray report on elevated IOP in perfused human anterior segment
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organ culture [23] provides a better understanding of TM responses to different physiological conditions. In the Gonzalez study [23], the stress imposed by elevated IOP on TM triggered the expression of inflammatory genes like interleukin-6, substance P and SCG2, ECM turnover genes like cathepsin-L and stromelysin-1, and stress response genes like metallothionein and αB-crystallin. It appears that TM responses to the pressure by expressing genes to increase the outflow. For example SCG2 was up-regulated in their study while it was down-regulated here. This is because the ultimate product, SN, coordinates inflammatory responses, which are exactly the reactions glucocorticoid is supposed to counteract. Cathepsin-L, a cysteine protease, was up-regulated in the Gonzalez study, while its inhibitor CST3 was up-regulated under DEX treatment in this current study. This CST3 up-regulation might help to increase the outflow in response to the elevated IOP as in the Gonzalez study. The purpose of up-regulating CST3 is likely to inhibit cysteine proteases to reduce inflammatory cellular infiltration. Unfortunately this would increase outflow resistance at the same time. Metallothionein was found to be up-regulated in both studies. However the Gonzalez study did not identify the specific MTs being up-regulated and, at the same time, there appears a complex regulation of MTs function during inflammation and DEX treatment. Further investigation is necessary to understand MT functions in TM.

It is anomalous that not all people receiving topical glucocorticoid eye drops suffer from steroid-induced ocular hypertension or steroid induced glaucoma. A living system is extremely dynamic and flexible. Tolerance to glucocorticoid is different among people. One must be cautious when extrapolating experimental findings from animal or in vitro study to human or even from a defined group of individuals to the general population.

In contrast to our use of an established steroid responding human cell line that was used for cloning the MYOC gene, Ishibashi et al., established primary TM cell cultures from cadaver eyes and performed gene expression analysis by cDNA microarray [24]. They used four normal human cadaver eyes without any information about their steroid responsiveness. However two of their eye samples expressed much more MYOC than the other two under the same DEX treatment, indicating a possible mixture of two different response groups in their study. Besides, there was no replication for each sample. Although it is understandable that with limited tissue obtainable, replication of a microarray experiment is difficult, yet the statistical power conferred by replication is obvious [25]. Hence, some of their findings can be false positive, as demonstrated by a large standard error of the means. Nonetheless, ours and their study share a few common findings. For example, MYOC and IGFBP2 were found to be up-regulated while ASNS, SCG2, and WARS down-regulated by DEX. The results from these two studies clearly indicate that these genes may participate in the common response pathway to steroid treatment. Therefore we believe both studies have opened up novel research opportunities for glaucoma research.

This current study on cultured human TM cells has demonstrated the power of parallel study on the expression of thousands of genes by microarray technology. Although the number of genes on our array was not particularly high, interesting regulatory mechanisms with rich implications in glaucoma drug development have been revealed. Extending the current study to different TM, ciliary body and ONH [82] cells from various forms of glaucoma and normal people, and to TM cells under different therapeutic drug treatments on higher density microarrays, will reveal further information about the underlying biological pathways. Our understanding on glaucoma pathogenesis will thus be enhanced.
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