Appendix Table 5: ANOVA Multiple Comparison Analysis for RIN scores from retinal samples

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tukey's multiple comparisons test: RIN scores for retina samples | Adjusted P Value | Significant? | Summary |
|  |  |  |  |
| Set Screw vs. TissueLyser | 0.3937 | No | ns |
| Set Screw vs. Bullet Blender | >0.9999 | No | ns |
| Set Screw vs. Pellet Pestle | 0.694 | No | ns |
| Set Screw vs. Dounce | 0.9924 | No | ns |
| TissueLyser vs. Bullet Blender | 0.3436 | No | ns |
| TissueLyser vs. Pellet Pestle | 0.9874 | No | ns |
| TissueLyser vs. Dounce | 0.6564 | No | ns |
| Bullet Blender vs. Pellet Pestle | 0.6373 | No | ns |
| Bullet Blender vs. Dounce | 0.9841 | No | ns |
| Pellet Pestle vs. Dounce | 0.9075 | No | ns |

Appendix Table 5 Legend: ANOVA Multiple Comparison analysis compared the RIN scores from retinal samples for each technique against the mean RNA yield from every other technique. Column 1 states the name of the two techniques that are being directly compared to one another. Column 2 states the p value after being corrected for multiple comparisons. Column 3 indicates whether the p value in Column 1 is statistically significant or not (p value <0.05). Column 4 states a summary of the significant level as indicated by asterisks (n.s. = not significant ; p value <0.05 = \* ; p value <0.01 = \*\* ; p value <0.001 = \*\*\* ; p value <0.0001 = \*\*\*\*). No statistical significance was achieved