Appendix Table 4: ANOVA Multiple Comparison Analysis for mean absorbance 260nm to 280nm for whole eye samples

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tukey's multiple comparisons test: Mean absorbance 260nm to 280nm for whole eye samples | Adjusted P Value | Significant? | Summary |
|  |  |  |  |
| Set Screw vs. TissueLyser | 0.9102 | No | ns |
| Set Screw vs. Bullet Blender | 0.0336 | Yes | \* |
| Set Screw vs. Pellet Pestle | 0.7679 | No | ns |
| Set Screw vs. Dounce | 0.9742 | No | ns |
| TissueLyser vs. Bullet Blender | 0.0032 | Yes | \*\* |
| TissueLyser vs. Pellet Pestle | 0.2799 | No | ns |
| TissueLyser vs. Dounce | 0.999 | No | ns |
| Bullet Blender vs. Pellet Pestle | 0.4118 | No | ns |
| Dounce vs. Bullet Blender | 0.0067 | Yes | \*\* |
| Pellet Pestle vs. Dounce | 0.4118 | No | ns |

Appendix Table 4 Legend: ANOVA Multiple Comparison analysis compared the mean 260nm to 280nm absorbance from whole eye samples for each technique against the mean RNA yield from every other technique. Column 1 states the name of the two techniques that are being directly compared to one another. Column 2 states the p value after being corrected for multiple comparisons. Column 3 indicates whether the p value in Column 1 is statistically significant or not (p value <0.05). Column 4 states a summary of the significant level as indicated by asterisks (n.s. = not significant ; p value <0.05 = \* ; p value <0.01 = \*\* ; p value <0.001 = \*\*\* ; p value <0.0001 = \*\*\*\*). If statistical significance is achieved, the first technique listed in Column 1 yielded higher ratio of absorbance 260nm to 280nm